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#### Abstract

A phase-space factorization of lines in finite geometry $G(m)$ with variables in $Z_{m}$ and its correspondence in finite Hilbert space $H(m)$ for $m$ a non-prime was discussed. Using the method of Good [15], lines in $G(m)$ were factorized as products of lines $G\left(m_{i}\right)$ where $m_{i}$ is a prime divisor of $m$. A lattice was formed between the non trivial sublines of $G(m)$ and lines of $G\left(m_{i}\right)$ and between a subspace of $H(m)$ and bases of $H\left(m_{i}\right)$ and existence of a link between lines in phase space finite geometry and bases in Hilbert space of finite quantum systems was discussed.
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## 1. Introduction

Finite geometry has received a lot of attention in the past. In particular, near-linear types are attracting attention. The reason may be related to its wide range of recently discovered uses. Lines of finite geometry are linked to phase-space finite quantum systems in the sense that for instance, taking the absolute value of the scalar product of any two (orthogonal) vectors each from different bases yields $\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$. Also, the number of mutually unbiased bases in a finite-dimensional Hilbert space is equal to $m+1$. This number corresponds to the number of finite-dimensional

[^0]lines in finite geometry [1-9]. In more recent times, non-near-linear finite geometry started receiving audience from researchers [11-14]. This could be linked to its duality with the weak mutually unbiased bases in finite quantum systems with variables in $Z_{m}$.
For a prime dimensional finite geometry, two lines intersect at a point. For a non-prime dimensional finite geometry, two lines intersect at least one point. The Geometry of this type is called the non-near-linear finite geometry [12-14]. In this article, we show how to decompose a large-dimensional finite geometry, called nonlinear finite geometry, into a product of many prime finite dimensional geometries, called near-linear geometries. This approach was adapted from Good's method of Fast Fourier Transforms [15]. This method decomposes the large Hilbert space qudits into smaller qudits using an appropriate uniform transformation. This method arose because of the difficulty of solving problems consisting of very large integers. In [15] a large integer was factored as the product of many small integers. The same was employed in [10] to factor a large-dimensional finite quantum system with variables of $Z_{m}$ as a product of many small-dimensional finite quantum systems. For $m_{i} \mid m ; G_{m_{i}} \subset G_{m}$, lines in $G_{m}$ is factored as as products of lines in $G_{m_{i}} s^{\prime}$, each lines in $G_{m_{i}} s^{\prime}$ has $m_{i}$ points. For $m_{k}, m_{i} \mid m$; the union of any two lines in $G_{m_{i}}$ produce a line in $G_{m_{k}}$ with $m_{k}$ points. Hence, form a lattice between lines in phase-space finite geometry $G_{m}$, for $G_{m_{i}} \mid G_{m}$. We divide the whole work into the following parts; Various notations used throughout the work were defined in the preliminaries of this work in section II. Section III covers finite geometry $G(m)$. We discuss the factorization of lines in finite geometry in section IV. Section V gave a symplectic structure on $G(m)$ with numerical examples. In section VI of this work, the non-prime dimensional finite quantum systems $\mho(m)$ with variables in $Z_{m}$ where $m$ is a non-prime is expressed as products in prime dimensional finite quantum systems $\mho\left(m_{i}\right)$ with variables in $Z_{m_{i}}$ where $p$ is a prime. In section VII, we relate the concept of factorization to mutually unbiased bases in prime dimensional Hilbert space. Section VIII covers the extension of mutually unbiased bases where a non-prime dimensional Hilbert space is expressed as a product of two prime dimensional Hilbert space $H(m)$ via factorization. The final section draws a necessary conclusion from the study.

## 2. Preliminaries

(i) Let $Z_{m}$ represents the ring of integer modulo $m$.
(ii) $\left|Z_{m}^{*}\right|$ represents the invertible integer modulo $m$. $\left|Z_{m}\right|$ is $\varphi(m)$. Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(m)=m \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(1-\frac{1}{m_{j}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) The Dedekind psi function $\psi(m)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(m)=m \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(1+\frac{1}{m_{j}}\right) ; m_{j}=\text { prime } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) The set of divisor is denoted in this work by $\{D(m)\}$. Its cardinality is a divisor function $\sigma_{0}(m)$. Here $m_{i} \mid m$ means $m_{i}$ divides $m$. If $m_{i} \mid m$ it means there exists a number say $k$ an integer such that $\frac{m}{m_{i}}=k$ that is $m=k m_{i}$. We showed the existence of a bijection between the products of the distinct set $\{D(m)\}$ of prime divisors $m$ and $Z_{m_{i}}$. The elements of $Z_{m_{i}}$ are embedded in $Z_{m}$ for $m_{i} \mid m$ thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{m_{i}} \ni \zeta \rightarrow Z_{m} \ni \frac{m \zeta}{m_{i}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v) $G C D(\xi, \rho)$ represents the greatest common divisor of two elements $\xi$ and $\rho$.
(vi) Integer $m$ is expressed as products of its distinct primes

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=m_{1} \times m_{2} \times \ldots \times m_{k} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this work, our discussion centres on a composite integer which express as products of two prime integers that is $m=m_{1} \times m_{2} . Z_{m}$ is a cyclic module.

## 3. Lines in finite geometry $\boldsymbol{G}(\boldsymbol{m})$

A finite geometry $G(m)=Z_{m} \times Z_{m}$ is defined as the combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(m)=(P(m), L(m)) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P_{m}$ represent points on a line and $L(m)$ represent lines in $G(m)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(m)=\left\{(k, g) \mid k, g \in Z_{m}\right\} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3.1. A line $L(x, y)$ of $G(m)$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.L(x, y)=\left\{(\alpha x, \alpha y) \mid x, y \in Z_{m}\right)\right\} \alpha \in Z_{m} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The representation $\prod_{j=1}^{k} G\left(m_{j}\right)$ and $\prod_{j=1}^{k} Z_{m} \times Z_{m}$ have similar interpretation, so at times we interchange them.
We discuss extensively finite geometry as a result our point of focus is on both near-linear and non-near-linear geometry. Here, two lines intersect in at least one point.

Proposition 3.2. (i) In $G(m)$ there exists $\psi(m)$ maximal lines with exactly m points.
(ii) For $\eta \in Z_{m}^{*}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\eta l, \eta v)=L(l, v) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

also, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { For } Z_{m}^{* \prime} \ni \eta \text { then } L(\eta l, \eta v) \bmod (m) \subset L(l, v) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) if $\left.G C D(l, v) \in Z_{m}^{*}\right), L(l, v)$ is a maximal line in $G(m)$. and if $G C D(l, v) \in Z_{m}-Z_{m}^{*}$ then $L(l, v)$ is a subline in $G(m)$
(iii) There exists $\psi(m)$ maximal lines in $G(m)$.
(iv) Suppose $G(m)$ is a finite geometry in equation(7). Then the line

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(l, v)=L(k l, k v)=\left\{(k \eta l, k \eta v) \mid k \in Z_{m}\right\}, \quad \text { in } G(k v) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

A line $L(k l, k v)$ in $G(k v)$ is a subline of

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(l, v)=\left\{\left(k^{\prime} l, k^{\prime} v\right) \mid k^{\prime}=0, \ldots, \eta v-1\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v) For $m_{i} \mid t$ two maximal lines have $m_{i}$ points in common. The t points gives a subline $L(l, v)$ where $l, v \in \frac{m}{k} Z_{m_{i}}$.

## 4. Factorization of lines in finite geometry

Lines in $Z_{m} \times Z_{m}$ were factored as products of lines in $\prod_{i=1}^{k} Z_{m_{i}} \times Z_{m_{i}}$ then a one-to-one and onto map was established between lines in $G(m)$ and its factor lines in $G(m)$. We adopted this concept from Good [15]. Similar thought was applied in [1] and [11-13] to factorize large finite-dimensional quantum systems as products of its finite subsystems. Here we used the same approach to create the ordinates of each of the points on the lines $G(m)$ in non-near-linear geometries as products of many ordinates in the lines $G(m)$ in near-linear geometries. This was carried out by creating two bijections, one for each of the two $l s^{\prime}$ and $m s^{\prime}$ ordinates for each line thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l \longleftrightarrow\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}\right) ; l_{j}=l\left(\bmod m_{j}\right) ; l=\sum l_{j} s_{j} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \longleftrightarrow\left(\bar{m}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{m}_{k}\right) ; \bar{m}_{j}=m t_{\mathbf{j}}=m_{j} t_{j}\left(\bmod m_{j}\right) ; m=\sum \bar{m}_{j} r_{j}(\bmod m) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{j}=\frac{m}{m_{j}} ; t_{j} r_{j}=1\left(\bmod m_{j}\right) ; s_{j}=t_{j} r_{j} \in Z_{m} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$l$ and $m$ ordinates in the non-near-linear geometry we factorised in line with equations (12) and (13). Hence an existence of $1-1$ correspondence was confirmed between

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(l, m) \text { in } G(m) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and lines

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(l_{1}, \bar{m}_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times L\left(l_{k}, \bar{m}_{k}\right) \in \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(Z_{m_{i}} \times Z_{m_{i}}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(l, m) \leftrightarrow\left(l_{1}, \bar{m}_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times\left(l_{k}, \bar{m}_{k}\right) \text { and } m_{j} \text { a prime } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the previous work of [11-13] we confirm the following:
(i) $m \psi(m)$ maximal lines in total.
(ii) $\psi(m)$ distinct maximal lines.

In addition,
(iii) We found an existence of $\psi\left(\frac{m}{m_{i}}\right)$ sublines each with $m_{i}$ points.

Analogously, we observe the following
(i) $L(a, \bar{b})$ are prime factor lines of $Z_{m_{i}} \times Z_{m_{i}}$, where $m_{i}$ is a prime number.
(ii) Lines in $Z_{m} \times Z_{m}=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(Z_{m_{j}} \times Z_{m_{j}}\right)$ is related to expressing a non prime integer as products of its prime.
(iii) The subline $G\left(m_{i}\right)$ is related to the divisor $m_{i}$ of an integer.

As an illustration, we express all maximal lines in $G(m)=Z_{m} \times Z_{m}$ for $m=14$ in terms of its primes discussed in equations (12) and (13) above by decomposing line $L(2,5)$.
Using equation (12) the ordinate 2 in $L(2,5)$ is decomposed as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \longleftrightarrow(0,2) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

also using equation (13) the ordinate 5 in $L(2,5)$ is decomposed as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
5 \longleftrightarrow(1,6) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $L(2,5)$ is decomposed as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(0,1) \times L(2,6) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we relate equation (20) to equations (12) and (13), $L(2,5)$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(1,-1) \times L(1,2) \equiv \Omega(-1,3) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 1. Factorization of Lines in $G_{6}$ as products of lines in $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ that is $G_{6}=G_{2} \times G_{3}$.

| $G_{6}$ | $G_{2}$ | $G_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L_{6}(0,1)$ | $L_{2}(0,1)$ | $L_{3}(0,2)$ |
| $L_{6}(1,0)$ | $L_{2}(1,0)$ | $L_{3}(1,0)$ |
| $L_{6}(1,1)$ | $L_{2}(1,1)$ | $L_{3}(1,2)$ |
| $L_{6}(1,2)$ | $L_{2}(1,0)$ | $L_{3}(1,1)$ |
| $L_{6}(1,3)$ | $L_{2}(1,1)$ | $L_{3}(1,0)$ |
| $L_{6}(1,4)$ | $L_{2}(1,0)$ | $L_{3}(1,2)$ |
| $L_{6}(1,5)$ | $L_{2}(1,1)$ | $L_{3}(1,1)$ |
| $L_{6}(2,1)$ | $L_{2}(0,1)$ | $L_{3}(2,2)$ |
| $L_{6}(2,3)$ | $L_{2}(0,1)$ | $L_{3}(2,0)$ |
| $L_{6}(2,5)$ | $L_{2}(0,1)$ | $L_{3}(2,1)$ |
| $L_{6}(3,1)$ | $L_{2}(1,1)$ | $L_{3}(0,2)$ |
| $L_{6}(3,2)$ | $L_{2}(1,0)$ | $L_{3}(0,1)$ |

## 5. $S p\left(2, Z_{m}\right)$ Transformation on $\boldsymbol{G}(m)$

The matrix $M(f, g \mid n, l)$

$$
M(f, g \mid n, l) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f & g  \tag{22}\\
n & l
\end{array}\right) \text { where } f, g, n, l \in Z_{m} \text { and }|M|=1(\bmod m)
$$

form a Symplectic group.

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(f, g \mid n, l)\left(\mu_{i}, v_{i}\right)^{T}=L\left(f \mu_{i}+g v_{i}, \mu_{i} n+l v_{i}\right), i=1,2, \cdots, m \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an illustration, acting a matrix $M(0,1 \mid-1, l)$, on a line $L(1, l)$ this produces $\psi(m)$ set of lines through the origin. In general, using equations (12) and (13), $S p\left(2, Z_{m}\right)$ is factorized as $S p\left(2, Z_{m_{l}}\right) \times \cdots \times S p\left(2, Z_{m_{k}}\right)$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(f, g \mid n, l)=\bigotimes_{j=1}^{k} M\left(p_{j}, r_{j} q_{j} \mid \bar{n}_{j}, l_{j}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{j}, q_{j}, l_{j}$ are related $l$ in equation (12) and $n_{j}$ is related to $n$ in equation(13).

### 5.1. Factorization in finite geometry

We showcase how prime dimensional finite geometry are embedded in non-prime dimensional finite geometry via divisor function. Using the symplectic matrix defined in equation (15), we factorized lines in finite geometry $G(m)$ as product of its prime finite geomerty with respect to equations (12) and (13). Thus, $S p\left(2, Z_{m}\right)$ is factorized as $S p\left(2, Z_{m_{l}} \times \ldots \times Z_{m_{k}}\right)$,
where $M(f, g \mid n, l)$ is defined in equation (24) above
Example; $m=6 \equiv 2 \times 3$; suppose $f=2, g=5, n=1, l=3$
then $M(2,5 \mid 1,3)$ is factorized in terms of equation (24) using equations (12) and (13) as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(2,5 \mid 1,3)=M(1,0 \mid 1,1) \otimes M(2,1 \mid 1,1) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

More examples are shown in the Table 1 below for $m=6 ; m_{1}=2, m_{2}=3, r_{1}=3, r_{2}=2, t_{1}=1, t_{2}=2$,

## 6. Factorization and partial ordering in finite quantum systems $\mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{m})$ with variables in $Z_{m}$

Finite quantum systems $\mho(m)$ with variables in $Z_{m}$ for $m$ a non prime is factorized as products of its distinct prime $\mho\left(m_{i}\right)$ in this section.

Here, $m_{i} \mid m, Z_{m}$ has $Z_{m_{i}}$ as its subgroup. As a result, we express a finite system $\mho(m)$ has $\mho\left(m_{i}\right)$ as its subsystem.
We use the notations $\left|X_{m} ; \delta\right\rangle$ and $\left|P_{m} ; \delta\right\rangle$ to denote the positions and momenta states respectively, where $\delta \in Z_{m}$. The concept

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}=m^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\delta, N}^{m-1} \omega(\delta N)\left|X_{m} ; \delta\right\rangle\left\langle X_{m} ; N\right| ; \quad \omega(\delta)=\exp \left(i \frac{2 \pi \delta}{m}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

represents the Fourier transform. The momentum states is obtained by acting the Fourier transform of the position states, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{m} ; \delta\right\rangle=F_{m}\left|X_{m} ; \delta\right\rangle . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an illustration suppose $m=2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\omega(0)\left|X_{2} ; 0\right\rangle\left\langle X_{2} ; 0\right|+\omega(0)\left|X_{2} ; 0\right\rangle\left\langle X_{2} ; 1\right|+\omega(0)\left|X_{2} ; 1\right\rangle\left\langle X_{2} ; 0\right|+\omega(1)\left|X_{2} ; 1\right\rangle\left\langle X_{2} ; 1\right|\right] \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This produces

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1  \tag{29}\\
1 & \omega
\end{array}\right)
$$

For $m=3$ we get

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1  \tag{30}\\
1 & \omega & \omega^{2} \\
1 & \omega^{2} & \omega
\end{array}\right)
$$

for $m=6$ we get

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1  \tag{31}\\
1 & \omega & \omega^{2} & \omega^{3} & \omega^{4} & \omega^{5} \\
1 & \omega^{2} & \omega^{4} & 1 & \omega^{2} & \omega^{4} \\
1 & \omega^{3} & 1 & \omega^{3} & 1 & \omega^{3} \\
1 & \omega^{4} & \omega^{2} & 1 & \omega^{4} & \omega^{2} \\
1 & \omega^{5} & \omega^{4} & \omega^{3} & \omega^{2} & \omega^{1}
\end{array}\right), \omega^{0}=1
$$

$D(\kappa, \xi)$ represent the displacement operator, it is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\kappa, \xi)=Z^{\kappa} X^{\xi} \omega\left(-2^{-1} \kappa \xi\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
Z^{\kappa} & =\sum_{N=0}^{m-1} \omega(N \kappa)\left|X_{m} ; N\right\rangle\left\langle X_{m} ; N\right|  \tag{33}\\
X^{\xi} & =\sum_{N=0}^{m-1} \omega(-N \kappa)\left|P_{m} ; N\right\rangle\left\langle P_{m} ; N\right| \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\xi} Z^{\kappa}=Z^{\kappa} X^{\xi} \omega(-\kappa \xi) ; X^{m}=Z^{m}=\mathbf{1} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $D(\kappa, \xi) \omega(\mu)$ where $\kappa, \xi, \mu \in Z_{m}$ forms a group called an Heisenberg-Weyl group.

### 6.1. Factorization of bases as prime factor bases

In this subsection, we use Chinese Remainders Theorem (CRT) to express a finite quantum system $\mho(m)$ with variables in $Z_{m}$ as products of its subsystems $\mho\left(m_{i}\right)$ with variables in $Z_{m_{i}}$. CRT was used by [10-14] to express a large size finite quantum system $\mho(m)$ with variables in $Z_{m}$ as products of its component subsystems $\mho\left(m_{1}\right), \ldots, \mho\left(m_{k}\right)$ with variables in $Z_{m_{k}}$ using equations (12) and (13). Our findings shows an existence of bijection between a large dimension
finite Hilbert space $H(m)$ and the tensor products of its components space $H\left(m_{i}\right)$ where $m_{l}, \ldots, m_{k}$ are relatively prime. That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X_{m} ; \delta\right\rangle \longleftrightarrow \bigotimes_{j=1}^{k}\left|X_{p_{j}} ; \bar{\delta}_{j}\right\rangle, D_{m}(\kappa, \xi)=\bigotimes_{j=1}^{k} D_{p_{j}}\left(\kappa_{j}, \bar{\xi}_{j}\right), H(m)=\bigotimes_{j=1}^{k} H\left(m_{j}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $m_{j}$ is a prime), where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X_{m} ; \delta\right\rangle \longleftrightarrow\left|X_{m_{I}} ; \bar{\delta}_{1}\right\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes\left|X_{m_{k}} ; \bar{\delta}_{k}\right\rangle \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{m} ; \delta\right\rangle \longleftrightarrow\left|P_{m_{l}} ; \delta_{1}\right\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes\left|P_{m_{k}} ; \delta_{k}\right\rangle \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

As illustrations, a six dimensional Hilbert space $H_{6}$ was factorized as products of two and three dimensional spaces, $H_{2} \otimes H_{3}$, thus using equations (12) and (13) for case $m=6$, the first bijection is, $5 \longleftrightarrow(1,2)$ and the second bijection is $5 \longleftrightarrow(1,1)$.
Therefore; position states in $H_{6}$ is factorized as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X_{6} ; 5\right\rangle \longleftrightarrow\left|X_{2} ; 1\right\rangle \otimes\left|X_{3} ; 2\right\rangle \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its momentum states is obtained thus;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{6} ; 5\right\rangle \longleftrightarrow\left|P_{2} ; 1\right\rangle \otimes\left|P_{3} ; 1\right\rangle \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (32), and for $m=6$, the displacement operator $D(\kappa, \xi)$ is factorized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{6}(3,5)=D_{2}(1,1) \otimes D_{3}(0,1) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.2. Embedding of small systems into large systems

We discuss how a small dimensional finite quantum system $\mho\left(m_{i}\right)$ was embedded into a large dimensional finite quantum systems $\mho(m)$ for $m_{i} \mid m$. We consider an orthonormal basis $\left|X_{m} ; \delta\right\rangle$ where $\delta \in Z_{m}$. If $m_{i} \mid m$ then $Z_{m_{i}} \subset Z_{m}$, it implies $\mho(m) \ni \mho\left(m_{i}\right)$.
Suppose we define a quantum subsystem $\mathcal{J}(m)$ contained $\mathcal{J}\left(m_{i}\right)$, an injective map with respect to position state is defined as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\delta=0}^{m_{i}-1} S_{\delta}\left|X_{m_{i}} ; \delta\right\rangle \rightarrow \sum_{\delta=0}^{m-1} S_{\delta}\left|X_{m} ; \frac{m \delta}{m_{i}}\right\rangle \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above relation in equation (42) is expressed in terms of momentum states as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\delta=0}^{m_{i}-1} T_{m}\left|P_{m_{i}} ; \delta\right\rangle \rightarrow \sum_{\delta=0}^{m-1} T_{\delta}\left|P_{m} ; \frac{m \delta}{m_{i}}\right\rangle \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

As illustration, let $m=6, m_{i}=2,3$; the subgroup of $Z_{6}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{2}=\{0,1\} \text { and } Z_{3}=\{0,1,2\} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

We express a finite quantum system $\mathcal{V}(6)$ as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mho(6)=\left|X_{6} ; \delta\right\rangle=\left\{\left|X_{6} ; 0\right\rangle,\left|X_{6} ; 1\right\rangle,\left|X_{6} ; 2\right\rangle,\left|X_{6} ; 3\right\rangle,\left|X_{6} ; 4\right\rangle,\left|X_{6} ; 5\right\rangle\right\} . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its subsystems are

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|X_{3} ; 2 \delta\right\rangle=\left\{\left|X_{3} ; 0\right\rangle,\left|X_{3} ; 2\right\rangle,\left|X_{3} ; 4\right\rangle\right\} . \\
\left|X_{2} ; 3 \delta\right\rangle=\left\{\left|X_{2} ; 0\right\rangle,\left|X_{2} ; 3\right\rangle\right\} . \tag{47}
\end{array}
$$

$\mho\left(m_{i}\right)$ takes values from $Z_{m_{i}}$ of $Z_{m}$ of the variables $\mho(m)$.
It is observed above that equation (46) is embedded in equation (45).

Futhermore, an existence of a one - one map between $\mathcal{V}(3)$ and $\mathcal{V}(6)$ is confirmed which implies that the quantum states of $\mho\left(m_{i}\right)$ are embedded into $\mho(m)$ as shown below.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
S_{0}  \tag{48}\\
S_{1} \\
S_{2}
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{c}
S_{0} \\
0 \\
S_{1} \\
0 \\
S_{2} \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The above relation in equation (42) is expressed in terms of momentum states as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\delta=0}^{m_{i}-1} T_{\delta}\left|P_{m_{i}} ; \delta\right\rangle \rightarrow \sum_{\delta=0}^{m-1} T_{\delta}\left|P_{m} ; \frac{m \delta}{m_{i}}\right\rangle \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m=6$ and $m_{i}=2$ the left hand side (LHS) of equation (49) yields,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\binom{T_{0}+T_{1}}{T_{0}+T_{1} \omega} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the right hand side (RHS ) of equation (49) we have

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{m_{i}-1} T_{\delta}\left|P_{m} ; \frac{m \delta}{m_{i}}\right\rangle=6^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
T_{0}+T_{1}  \tag{51}\\
T_{0}+T_{1} \omega \\
T_{0}+T_{1} \\
T_{0}+T_{1} \omega \\
T_{0}+T_{1} \\
T_{0}+T_{1} \omega
\end{array}\right)
$$

There exists an injection between equations (50) and (51). This implies that equation (50) is embedded in equation (51) confirming equation (49). That is

$$
2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\binom{T t_{0}+T_{1}}{T_{0}+T_{1} \omega} \rightarrow 6^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
T_{0}+T_{1}  \tag{52}\\
T_{0}+T_{1} \omega \\
T_{0}+T_{1} \\
T_{0}+T_{1} \omega \\
T_{0}+T_{1} \\
T_{0}+T_{1} \omega
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence, an existence of partial order relation has been observed in general within the non-prime dimensional finite geometry and finite quantum systems with subgeometries and subsystems as partial order. This thereby demonstrates dualities between geometries and quantum systems.

## 7. Mutually unbiased bases

Prime dimensional Mutually unbiased bases has been discussed in many works in the past. It is a situation where by the overlap of two orthogonal vectors of finite dimennsion yields $\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$. that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle X_{\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}} ; \beta \mid X_{\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}} ; \alpha\right\rangle\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{m}, \forall\left|X_{\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}} ; \beta\right\rangle \in\left|B\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{i}}\right) ; \beta\right\rangle \text { and }\left|X_{\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}} ; \alpha\right\rangle \in\left|B\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}\right) ; \alpha\right\rangle, \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\Delta_{i} \neq \Delta_{\mathbf{j}}$.
It was confirmed in [11] that absence of inverse of 2 in even dimensional finite Hilbert space leads to inability to know the number of mutually unbiased bases. As a result we restrict our discussion to finite systems with odd dimension
only.
The displacement operators is defined earlier in equation (32), it forms a representation of Heisenberg-Weyl group. Symplectic transformation has been studied in [10]. It satisfies the conditions;

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[\operatorname{M}(f, g \mid m, l)] X_{m}[\operatorname{MM}(f, g \mid m, l)]^{\dagger}=D(g, f)} \\
& {[\operatorname{M}(f, g \mid m, l)] Z_{m}[\operatorname{IM}(f, g \mid m, l)]^{\dagger}=D(l, m)} \\
& \operatorname{M}(f l-g m)=1(\bmod (m)), f, g, m, l \in Z_{m} \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

In this work $M(f l-g m)$ defined in equation (15) and $\operatorname{IM}(f l-g m)$ in equation (54) do not belong to the same representation. The Fourier transform is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}=\operatorname{IM}(0,1 \mid-1,0) . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mutually unbiased bases in finite quantum systems with odd dimension thus;
Suppose

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Delta=-1 \rightarrow\left|X_{-1} ; \alpha\right\rangle=\operatorname{IM}(1,0 \mid 0,1)\left|X_{m_{i}} ; \alpha\right\rangle \\
\Delta=0, \ldots, \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{i}}-1 \rightarrow\left|X_{\Delta} ; \alpha\right\rangle=\mathbf{M}(0,1 \mid-1, \Delta)\left|X_{m_{i}} ; \alpha\right\rangle \tag{56}
\end{array}
$$

for $\Delta=0,\left|X_{0} ; \alpha\right\rangle=\left|P_{m_{i}} ; \alpha\right\rangle$.
If we take any two states where these two states are not from the same bases, calculating the modulus of their dot product yields equation (53).
In this case, there exists $\psi\left(m_{i}\right)$ mutually unbiased bases.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{\Delta} ; \alpha\right\rangle=\left\{\left|X_{\Delta} ; \alpha\right\rangle\right\} ; \quad \Delta=-1, \ldots, m_{i}-1 \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mutually unbiased bases for prime dimension, $m_{i}=3$ is shown below.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{-1} ; \alpha\right\rangle=\left\{\left|X_{-1}(1,0 \mid 0,1) ; \alpha\right\rangle\right\}, \alpha \in Z_{3} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

represents the standard bases, here $\left|X_{-1}(1,0 \mid 0,1) ; \alpha\right\rangle$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{IM}(1,0 \mid 0,1)\left|X_{3} ; \alpha\right\rangle$.
We obtained the remaining bases by using symplectic transform $\left|X_{-1} ; \alpha\right\rangle$; $\operatorname{IM}(0,1 \mid-1, \Delta)\left|X_{3} ; \alpha\right\rangle=\left|X_{\Delta}(0,1 \mid-1, \Delta) ; \alpha\right\rangle$ where $\Delta \in Z_{m_{i}}$ and $\alpha \in Z_{m_{i}}$
(i) For $\Delta=0 ;\left|B_{0} ; \alpha\right\rangle=\{|X(0)(0,1 \mid-1,0) ; \alpha\rangle\}$;
(ii) For $\Delta=1 ;\left|B_{1} ; \alpha\right\rangle=\{|X(1)(0,1 \mid-1,1) ; \alpha\rangle\}$;
(iii) For $\Delta=2 ;\left|B_{2} ; \alpha\right\rangle=\{|X(2)(0,1 \mid-1,2) ; \alpha\rangle\}$.

Taking any two states from distinct bases and calculating the modulus of their dot product yields $m_{i}{ }^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

### 7.1. Factorization of bases and weak mutually unbiased bases $(\mathcal{W} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{B})$

As discussed earlier in Factorization of lines, bases of a non-prime dimesional finite Hilbert space of finite quantum systems $\mho(m)$ is expressed as products of prime dimensional Hilbert space $\mho\left(m_{i}\right)$. This concept had been discussed by many authors [1] and [11-13] was used by Good in [15]. However in our work we mentioned it briefly to showcase the duality in finite geometry in its match in finite quantum systems. Let $\left\{\left|B_{i} ; \alpha\right\rangle\right\}$ denotes a set of $\mathfrak{g}$ orthonormal bases in the Hilbert spaces $H(m)$ where $\beta \in Z_{m}$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, \mathfrak{g}$ is called a weak mutually unbiased bases if.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle B_{j} ; \beta \mid B_{i} ; \alpha\right\rangle\right|=m_{i}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text { or } 0, ; m_{i} \mid m(\mathfrak{i} \neq \mathrm{i}) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any set of weak mutually unbiased bases in $H(m)$ can be expressed as products of mutually unbiased bases. $\left|X_{\Delta_{1}} ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle \otimes$ $\ldots \otimes\left|X_{\Delta_{k}} ; \bar{\alpha}_{k}\right\rangle$ where $\left\{\left|X_{\Delta_{1}} ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle\right\}$ is a set of mutually unbiased bases in Hilbert subspace $H\left(m_{1}\right),\left\{\left|X_{\Delta_{2}} ; \bar{\alpha}_{2}\right\rangle\right\}$ is a set of mutually unbiased bases in Hilbert subspace $\left.H\left(m_{2}\right), \ldots,\left\{\mid X_{\Delta_{k}} ; \bar{\alpha}_{k}\right\}\right\rangle$ is a set of mutually unbiased bases in Hilbert subspace $H\left(m_{k}\right)$.

This is analogous to expression of a non-prime positive integer as products of its prime factors. Bases in non-prime dimension finite quantum systems is expressed as follows;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X_{m} ; \alpha\right\rangle=\left|X_{m_{l}} ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes\left|X_{m_{k}} ; \bar{\alpha}_{k}\right\rangle, \alpha \in Z_{m} \quad \bar{\alpha} \in Z_{m_{j}} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result from equation (36),the weak mutually unbiased bases is expressed here as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X_{\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{k}} ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{k}\right\rangle=\left|X_{1, \Delta_{1}} ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes\left|X_{k, \Delta_{k}} ; \bar{\alpha}_{k}\right\rangle \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\alpha}_{j} \in Z_{m_{j}}$ and $-1 \leq \alpha_{j} \leq m_{j}-1$.
In a special case, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{1}=\ldots=\Delta_{k}=-1 \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathfrak{X}_{-1, \ldots,-1} ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{k}\right\rangle & =\left|X_{1,-1} ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes\left|X_{k,-1} ; \bar{\alpha}_{k}\right\rangle \\
& =\left|X_{1} ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes\left|X_{k} ; \bar{\alpha}_{k}\right\rangle \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{1}=\ldots=\Delta_{k}=0 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|X_{0, \ldots, 0} ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{k}\right\rangle & =\left|X_{1,0} ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes\left|X_{k, 0} ; \bar{\alpha}_{k}\right\rangle \\
& =\left|P_{1} ; \alpha_{1}\right\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes\left|P_{k} ; \alpha_{k}\right\rangle \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

taking the absolute value of the dot product of any two states each belonging to different bases in equations (64) and (66), it satisfies the relation;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle\mathfrak{X}_{\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{k}} ; \bar{\gamma}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}} \mid X_{\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{k}} ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{k}\right\rangle\right|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{i}}} \text { or } 0, m_{i} \mid m . \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(m)=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(m_{k}+1\right) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

maximum number of weak unbiased bases in Hilbert space $H_{m}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{k}} ; \alpha\right\rangle=\left\{\left|X_{\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{k}} ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{k}\right\rangle\right\} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

An existence of the duality between lines in finite geometry and weak mutually unbiased bases was discussed. Table 2 below shows the summary of the duality for line in $G(m)$ and bases in $H(m)$ where $m=6$.
$\left|B_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}} ; \alpha\right\rangle$ represents bases in a finite Hilbert space of a quantum systems,
$\left|X_{m_{l}}\left(0,1 \mid-1, \Delta_{1}\right) ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle$ represents an orthogonal vector in state $\overline{\alpha_{1}}$, where $\overline{\alpha_{1}} \in Z_{m_{1}}$
$\mid X_{m_{2}}\left(0,1 \mid-1, \Delta_{2}\right)$ represents an orthogonal vector in state $\overline{\alpha_{2}}$, where $\overline{\alpha_{2}} \in Z_{m_{2}}$.

## 8. Duality between weak mutually unbiased bases in $\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{m})$ and lines in $\boldsymbol{G}(\boldsymbol{m})$

The maximal lines in $G(m)$ corresponds to weak mutually unbiased bases in $H(m)$. The $\psi(m)$ maximal lines in $G(m)$ conforms to $\psi(m)$ weak mutually unbiased bases in $H(m)$. Each maximal lines has $m$ points, also there are $m$ orthogonal vectors in each of $W M U B$ in $H(m)$. For $m_{i} \mid m$, the subgeometries $G\left(m_{i}\right)$ of $G(m)$ corresponds to the subsystems $\mho\left(m_{i}\right)$ of $\mho(m)$.
There are $\sigma_{0}(m)$ subgeometries $G\left(m_{i}\right)$ of $G(m)$ and likewise there are $\sigma_{0}(m)$ subsystems $\mho\left(m_{i}\right)$ of $\mho(m)$.
A phase-space finite geometry $G_{6}$ contains:

| $\left\|B_{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}} ; \alpha\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{m_{l}}\left(0,1 \mid-1, \Delta_{1}\right) ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{m_{2}}\left(0,1 \mid-1, \Delta_{2}\right) ; \bar{\alpha}_{2}\right\rangle$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\|B_{-1,-1} ; \alpha\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{2,-1}(1,0 \mid 0,1) ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{3,-1}(1,0 \mid 0,1) ; \bar{\alpha}_{2}\right\rangle$ |
| $\left\|B_{-1,0} ; \alpha\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{2,0}(1,0 \mid 0,1) ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{3,0}(0,1 \mid-1,0) ; \bar{\alpha}_{2}\right\rangle$ |
| $\left\|B_{-1,1} ; \alpha\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{2,1}(1,0 \mid 0,1) ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{3,1}(0,1 \mid-1,1) ; \bar{\alpha}_{2}\right\rangle$ |
| $\left\|B_{-1,2} ; \alpha\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{2,-1}(1,0 \mid 0,1) ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{3,2}(0,1 \mid-1,2) ; \bar{\alpha}_{2}\right\rangle$ |
| $\left\|B_{0,-1} ; \alpha\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{2,0}(0,1 \mid-1,0) ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{3,-1}(1,0 \mid 0,1) ; \bar{\alpha}_{2}\right\rangle$ |
| $\left\|B_{1,-1} ; \alpha\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{2,1}(0,1 \mid-1,1) ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{3,-1}(1,0 \mid 0,1) ; \bar{\alpha}_{2}\right\rangle$ |
| $\left\|B_{0,0} ; \alpha\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{2,0}(0,1 \mid-1,0) ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{3,0}(0,1 \mid-1,0) ; \bar{\alpha}_{2}\right\rangle$ |
| $\left\|B_{0,1} ; \alpha\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{2,0}(0,1 \mid-1,0) ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{3,1}(0,1 \mid-1,1) ; \bar{\alpha}_{2}\right\rangle$ |
| $\left\|B_{0,2} ; \alpha\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{2,0}(0,1 \mid-1,0) ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{3,2}(0,1 \mid-1,2) ; \bar{\alpha}_{2}\right\rangle$ |
| $\left\|B_{1,0} ; \alpha\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{2,1}(0,1 \mid-1,1) ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{3,0}(0,1 \mid-1,0) ; \bar{\alpha}_{2}\right\rangle$ |
| $\left\|B_{1,1} ; \alpha\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{2,1}(0,1 \mid-1,1) ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{3,1}(0,1 \mid-1,1) ; \bar{\alpha}_{2}\right\rangle$ |
| $\left\|B_{1,2} ; \alpha\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{2,1}(0,1 \mid-1,1) ; \bar{\alpha}_{1}\right\rangle$ | $\left\|X_{3,2}(0,1 \mid-1,2) ; \bar{\alpha}_{2}\right\rangle$ |



Figure 1. The Hasse diagram showing duality between $G(6)$ and $H(6)$

1. Lines with 6 points subgeometries $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ with lines with 2 and 3 points, respectively. A finite Hilbert space $H_{6}$ contains bases each with 6 orthogonal vectors, subspaces $H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$.
2. A union $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ is isomorphic to subgeometry $G_{6}$. A union $H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$ is isomorphic to subspace of $H_{6}$.
3. An intersection of $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ is isomorphic to $G_{1}$. Also, an intersection of $H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$ is isomorphic to subspace $H_{1}$.

Hence, from properties (1), (2), and (3) above, the Hasse diagram does not only show a duality but also form a lattice as shown in figure 1 .

## 9. Conclusion

This study pays attention to the existence of lattices in non-near linear finite geometry $G(m)$ and prime geometries $G\left(m_{i}\right)$, as well as the finite quantum system $\Pi(m)$ and its subsystem $\Pi\left(m_{i}\right)$, with subsystems, forming a lattice. More
importantly, the results shown in this study demonstrate those important relation which exists between a structure and its substructures both in quantum system and geometry in its phase space.

## References

[1] A. Vourdas, "Quantum systems with finite Hilbert space", Reports on Progress in Physics 67 (2004) 267. https://doi.org/10.1088/00344885/67/3/R03
[2] N. Cotfas \& J. P. Gazeau, "Finite tight frames and some applications", Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 43 (2010) 193001. https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/43/19/193001.
[3] T. Durt, B. Englert, I. Bengtsson \& Ka. Życzkowski, "On mutually unbiased bases", International journal of quantum information 8 (2010) 535.
[4] J. Tolar \& G. Chadzitaskos, "Feynman's path integral and mutually unbiased bases", Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 42 (2009) 245306. https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/24/245306 Corpus ID: 9968124
[5] L. M. Batten, Combinatorics of finite geometries, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1997).
[6] K. S. Gibbons, M. J. Hoffman \& W. K. Wootters, "Discrete phase space based on finite fields", Physical Review A 70 (2004) 062101. https://journals.aps.org/pra/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.062101
[7] M. Saniga \& M. Planat, "Hjelmslev geometry of mutually unbiased bases", Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 39 (2006) 435. https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/39/2/013
[8] P. Šulc \& J. Tolar, "Group theoretical construction of mutually unbiased bases in Hilbert spaces of prime dimensions", Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 40 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/40/50/013
[9] O. Albouy, "The isotropic lines of $Z_{d}^{2}$ ", Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 42 (2009) 072001.
[10] A. Vourdas, "Factorization in finite quantum systems", Journals of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 36 (2003).
[11] M. Shalaby \& A. Vourdas, "Weak mutually unbiased bases", Journal of Physics A Mathematical and Theoretical 45 (2012) 052001. https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/45/5/052001
[12] M. Shalaby \& A. Vourdas, "Mutually unbiased projectors and duality between lines and bases in finite quantum systems", Annals of Physics 337 (2013) 208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2013.06.018
[13] S. O. Oladejo, A. D. Adeshola \& A. D. Adeniyi, "Lattice Theory for Finite Dimensional Hilbert Space with Variables in $Z_{d}$ ", Journals of quantum Information Science, 9 (2019) 111. https://doi.org/10.4236/jqis.2019.92006
[14] S. O. Oladejo, C. Lei \& A. Vourdas, "Partial Ordering of Weak mutually unbiased Bases", Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 47 (2014).
[15] I. J Good, "The Relationship Between Two Fast Fourier Transforms", IEEE C-20 (1971) 310. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1671829
[16] J. W. P. Hirchfeld, Projective geometries over finite fields, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford (1979).
[17] H. Havlicek (TUW) \& M. Saniga, "Projective Ring Line of an Arbitrary Single Qudit", Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 41 (2007) 015302.


[^0]:    *Corresponding author tel. no:
    Email address: afeezokareem@gmail.com (A. O. Abdulkareem)

